

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Paten and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. B. 1450 Advardatia, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,367	01/11/2002	Kevin Scott Beyer	ARC920010085US1	6325
26381	7590 . 11/21/2006		EXAMINER	
LACASSE 6	& ASSOCIATES, LLC			
SUITE 650	STREET	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	IIA, VA 22314			

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief 10/042,367 BEYER ET AL. (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner Art Unit Sam Rimell 2164 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 18 September 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37. To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, 2. canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). 5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). 7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)). Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): (1) The status of amendments should only refer to amendments that were filed after the final rejection. Accodingly, this section should indicate that no such amendments were submitted. (2) The brief includes definitions from Webopedia which were never previously submitted or approved for entry. Accordingly, the Webopedia citations are new evidence submitted for the first time with the brief and such submissions are prohibited under 37 CFR 41.33 (d)(2). Accordingly, the revised brief must delete the new evidence and delete any reference to the new evidence, since this evidence was not previously submitted or previously approved for entry prior to submission of the appeal brief. .

Sam Rimell **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 2164